PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Serial Number: 08/650,719

Dkt: 303.623US1

Filing Date: May 20, 1996

ASYNCHRONOUSLY-ACCESSIBLE MEMORY DEVICE WITH MODE SELECTION CIRCUITRY FOR BURST OR PIPELINED

selecting an internal address path if the pipeline/burst signal indicates a burst mode of operation.

63. (New) A storage device, comprising:

an array of memory cell

mode circuitry for receiving a burst/pipeline signal; and

operation circuitry operable in a burst or a pipeline mode of operation depending upon the burst/pipeline signal, the operation dircuitry switchable between burst and pipeline modes of operation.

64. (New) A memory circuit, comprising:

an array of memory cells;

burst/pipeline selection circuitry for determining a burst or a pipeline mode of operation of the memory circuit; and

mode circuitry capable of operation in either a burst mode or a pipeline mode of operation, and switchable between burst and pipeline modes of operation.

## REMARKS

Claim 50 is amended. Claims 59-64 have been added. Claims 1-9, 33-35, 46, 48-50, and 59-64 are now pending in this application.

## General Remarks

The Advisory Action dated September 17, 1999, has been considered. Applicant provides the following remarks with respect to the statements presented in the Advisory Action. Applicant traverses the statements of the Advisory Action, and submits that the Advisory Action and its preceding Office Actions do not address the arguments Applicant has previously put forth. Further, Applicant submits that the Manning patent does not contain each and every element of the claims, and as such, cannot properly form the basis of the previous rejections.

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Serial Number: 08/650,719

Filing Date: May 20, 1996
Title: ASYNCHRONOUSLY-ACCESSIBLE MEMORY DEVICE WITH MODE SELECTION CIRCUITRY FOR BURST OR PIPELINED

Page 4 Dkt: 303.623US1

OPERATION

Claim 1 recites "mode circuitry configured to select between a burst mode and a pipelined mode" and "circuitry operable in either a burst mode or a pipelined mode coupled to the mode selection circuitry and configured to switch between the pipelined mode and the burst mode ..." Manning does not disclose mode circuitry and circuitry coupled to the mode circuitry. Applicant is unable to find any mention of separate circuits for mode circuitry and for circuitry coupled to the mode circuitry which is operable in a burst or a pipeline mode. Indeed, the previous rejections also fail to disclose where in Manning such circuits are found.

Applicant presented remarks to this effect in Applicant's response, dated August 30, 1999. The Advisory Action purports to have considered this argument, but does not address it, despite the clear showing and argument by Applicant that each and every element of the claim had not been shown. The Office Action does not state where it finds mention in Manning of mode selection circuitry and circuitry coupled to the mode selection circuitry configured to switch between pipelined and burst modes.

The only discussion of any circuitry in the Office Action is an unsupported statement that Manning at col. 6, lines 14-16 discloses mode selection circuitry and circuitry coupled to the mode selection circuitry operable to switch between a pipelined and a burst mode of operation. A reading of Manning at col. 6, lines 14-16 reveals something entirely different. The only discussion in Manning of changing modes at col. 6, lines 14-16 is general at best. The statement in Manning is that the Figure 1 memory device "may include the option of switching between burst EDO and standard EDO modes of operation." No circuitry operable in a burst mode or a pipeline mode is present in Manning.

Figure 11 of the present application shows mode circuitry 138. This mode circuitry is configured to select between a burst mode and a pipeline mode of operation as is recited in the claims. No such mode circuitry exists in Manning. See page 27, line 22 to page 29, line 3 for a discussion of the mode circuitry.

The previous Office Action relied on Manning at Figure 1, ref. 40, col. 6, lines 14-16 and col. 5, lines 43-50 for its rejection of claim 1. Manning does briefly discuss switching between burst EDO and standard EDO modes of operation. However, only a general discussion is

Serial Number: 08/650,719 Filing Date: May 20, 1996

Title: ASYNCHRONOUSLY-ACCESSIBLE MEMORY DEVICE WITH MODE SELECTION CIRCUITRY FOR BURST OR PIPELINED

OPERATION

present. In Manning, at col. 6, lines 16-22, an initial choice of whether the mode of operation of Manning will be burst EDO or standard EDO is made. The only substantive discussion of any switching occurs at col. 6, lines 30-34: "[I]n a device designed with an alternate method of switching between burst and non-burst access cycles, the state of /WE when /RAS falls may be used to switch between other possible modes of operation such as interleaved versus linear addressing modes."

Reference 40 of Figure 1 is a "mode register which latches the state of one or more of the address input signals ..." Applicant is unable to find any reference in Manning of any circuitry operable in either a burst or pipeline mode. The only support for a pipeline mode in Manning is not for a pipeline mode, but instead for a pipeline architecture. This single mention of a pipeline architecture does not teach operation of the Manning memory device in either a pipeline or burst mode, and certainly does not teach switching between a burst mode and a pipeline mode of operation. No discussion of any operation in a pipeline mode of operation is present in Manning.

Manning further does not teach any circuitry for switching between pipeline and burst modes of operation, as is required by claim 1. The mode register 40 of Manning is asserted by the Office Action to be both "mode circuitry configured to select between a burst mode and a pipelined mode" and "circuitry operable in either a burst mode or a pipelined mode ... and configured to switch between the pipelined mode and the burst mode ..." Manning is utterly lacking in any support for that assertion. The Office Actions are utterly lacking in any assertion of both mode circuitry and other circuitry coupled to the mode circuitry.

Manning does not contain each and every element of claim 1. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is allowable.

Claim 33 recites "selecting between and asynchronously-accessible burst mode and an asynchronously-accessible pipelined mode of operation." Claim 46 recites "selecting a burst mode of operation" and "switching modes to a pipelined mode of operation." Further, claim 46 recites operation in each of the modes. As Applicant has discussed above, nowhere in Manning is any reference to switching between burst and pipeline modes of operation of a memory device. Instead, the only discussion of any switching is at col. 6, lines 14-34. This discussion clearly

Serial Number: 08/650,719 Filing Date: May 20, 1996

Title: ASYNCHRONOUSLY-ACCESSIBLE MEMORY DEVICE WITH MODE SELECTION CIRCUITRY FOR BURST OR PIPELINED

OPERATION

contains absolutely no support for switching between burst and pipelined modes of operation as required by the claims.

The methods of claims 33 and 46 each clearly recite switching between burst and pipelined modes of operation in clear contrast to any teaching of Manning. As such, since Manning does not contain each and every element of claims 33 and 46, those claims are allowable.

Claims 2-9, 34-35, and 48-49 depend from and further define patentably distinct claims 1, 33, or 46, and are also believed allowable.

Claim 50 as amended recites a "memory selectively operable either in a burst mode or a pipelined mode." As Applicant has shown above, no teaching of Manning is directed to switching between pipelined and burst modes of operation. As such, Manning does not contain each and every element of claim 50, and it is allowable.

New claims 59-64 are also directed to and recite switching between burst and pipelined modes of operation, which Manning does not show or teach.

The Advisory Action asserts that "Manning suggests that both or one of two modes of the current invention could include a pipelined mode." This statement clearly conflicts with previous rejections, and indicates that Manning does not explicitly teach burst and pipelined modes of operation. As such, since Manning does not teach both burst and pipelined modes of operation, and since Manning does not teach a single memory operable in either of a burst or a pipeline mode of operation, Manning does not contain each and every element of the claims, and cannot be used to support a proper rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102.

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Serial Number: 08/650,719

Filing Date: May 20, 1996 ASYNCHRONOUSLY-ACCESSIBLE MEMORY DEVICE WITH MODE SELECTION CIRCUITRY FOR BURST OR PIPELINED Title:

**OPERATION** 

## **CONCLUSION**

Applicant believes the claims are in condition for allowance and requests reconsideration of the application and allowance of the claims. The Examiner is invited to telephone the belowsigned attorney at (612) 373-6944 to discuss any questions which may remain with respect to the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFFREY S. MAILLOUX ET AL.

By their Representatives,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.

Page 7

Dkt: 303.623US1

P.O. Box 2938

Minneapolis, MN 55402

(612) 373-6944

By.

Daniel J. Polglaze

Reg. No. 39,801

"Express Mail" mailing label number: ELZ5401879005 Scotenber-30, 1999

Date of Deposit: \_

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addresses" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

**Printed Name:** 

Signature: